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Content

- Silica fume in concrete has a 60 year history,
- Development of the use in concrete has been a long story.
- A main hurdle were the needs for official recognition and acceptance of the technology - in the form of standards and related specifications.
- The talk give a brief summary of history, and of the standards development,
  - leading up to the present day when international standards are in place, new materials seek to emulate silica fume and existing structures testify to the benefits of silica fume in concrete.
- Also some projects are presented.
History

- Silica Fume, aka. Microsilica, condensed silica fume etc, was first mentioned in a US patent from 1944
- This patent mainly touched upon the use in mortar, and little is known of commercial use of the process.
Fiskaa Plant in Kristiansand, Norway ca. 1970
This is how silica fume is produced:

- Silica fume is an inherent co-product of silicon and ferrosilicon
- Silicon is not found in nature, and is normally produced from silica (SiO₂) and carbon (C)
- Ideally, the following reaction is intended

\[ \text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{C} = \text{Si} + 2\text{CO} \]

where
- SiO₂ is normally quartz
- C is a mix of coal, coke and wood chips
- For ferrosilicon: an iron source is added, e.g iron oxide

- Production take place in large electric smelting furnaces at temperatures > 2000 °C
This is how silica fume is produced

- However, the chemistry is much more complex, and a number of side-reactions are involved
- Silicon carbide (SiC) and the (unstable) gas SiO are important intermediate products
- In practice, some of the SiO-gas escapes from the furnace and reacts with air

\[
\text{SiO} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 = \text{SiO}_2
\]

- This is silica fume. Of the quartz added to the furnace, some 10 - 25% ends up as silica fume
Production quantities of silica fume

- Quantities are significant, typical
  - 1000 kg Si -> 400 - 500 kgs silica fume
  - 1000 kg FeSi (75%) -> 200 - 250 kgs silica fume
- Globally, the production of silica fume is estimated at 1.5 mill tpy
  - Assuming all furnaces are running and all are filtered
  - A highly unlikely scenario, possibly 70-80% is available
- Important production countries are China (leading), Norway, South Africa, USA, Canada, Spain, Russia/CIS and France
- China is in the middle of a significant expansion in production capacity of Si and FeSi
Development of gas cleaning technology for silica fume – a challenge

- 1950: trials with blankets in test filter
- 1951: the first experiments with “The Fiskaa-stuff”
- 1953: Elkem gave up construction of large-scale filters
- 1965 - 1970: Some companies invest in electrostatic precipitators
  - Limited success, practically no longer in use
- 1970: First generation baghouse filters – also not successful
- 1974: Elkem succeeds with own filter design
  - Established business unit for sale of filters
- 1974: The authorities require cleaning of Norwegian smelting industry
- 1975 – 1980: The filtered silica fume creates a considerable disposal problem
  - Intense development work to develop use and applications
- 1980 - : commercial breakthrough for the use of silica fume in concrete
- 1984: introduction of membrane technology (PTFE) for filtration
New filter installations:

Spring 2001

Xibei Ferroalloys, China

Spring 2002
The first published works on silica fume in concrete

• James William Sharp (1944)
  • Patent on "Silica modified cement", assigned to Permanente Cement Company
  • Focussed on plastic cements, 3-5% silica fume
  • Noted that bleeding was substantially reduced
  • Also observed a 40% increase in 90-days strength for concrete

• Carl Johan Bernhardt (1952)
  • Worked with cement replacement – up to 30%
  • Reported a significant increase in compressive strength "in reasonable mixes"
  • Documented improvements in sulphate- and freeze-thaw resistance in mixes with 10-15% cement replacement
  • First known published technical paper on silica fume-concrete (April 1952)
James William Sharp (1944) and C.J. Bernhard (1952) – pioneers for use of silica fume in concrete
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**SiO₂-slov som cementtillsnitt.**

Inledning.

Det har länge varit känt att tilltrekkelig finkornet SiO₂ ved vanlig tryck och temperatur kan reagera med kalk och danna faste, cementliknande forbindelser som visar större trykstyrke. Dette forhold är utnyttjad vid f. ex. kiselgruv och vulkanisk aske (pouzzolan och frasa) er blitt använt till stopparar i förbindelse med vanlig Portland cement. Enkelt industriella avfallsprodukter som slagg och flygesko har även använts i detta syfte.

I senare tid har det varit möjligt stora framsteg speciellt i amerikansk forskning för att klargöra fullt ut virningen av dessa stoffer (1). Vid A S Fiskea Herb, Kristiansand, försas som reproducera ett stoff som kan begravas som en flygsko. Kjemikals analyser visar att finkorn av SiO₂ på 80–90%. Av andra bestanddelar kan nevnes ca. 5% Fe₂O₃ och ca. 7% C. Det finns icke Ca och icke Si i stoffet. Slottet är ohyra finkornet. Vid behör i mikroskop ej visar det sig att huvudsakliga bestanddelar av stoffet består av granitiska partiklar av storleksordren 0,3 μm. Dessa klor klimpar sig samman i lösa haller av storleksordren 10–15 μm. För att få etn analytisk avstötning i stort omfattande mellan SiO₂ silvet, cementpartiklar och de finsta partiklar av vanlig slippes är vitt mikrofotograf av de tre stoffen. Samtliga stoff er siktet genom mask med mikroskopiskt 88 μm (4000 maskor pr. cm²). Mikroskops förämnnelse var 1000 x.
History

• Immediately before 1950, the first trial “production” underway in Norway, using very improvised techniques to collect the silica fume.

• Then investigations were initiated at the Norwegian Technical University in Trondheim and in the tunnels of the Oslo subway.

• The tests involved lab tests and marine field exposure in Trondheim and exposure in extremely aggressive environment in the subway tunnel dug through alun shale, adjacent to the Norwegian Parliament in Oslo.
Sulphate resistance – start of field test in Oslo, Norway 1952

- Location: the tunnel “Blindtarmen” (the Appendix) – side tunnel in the Oslo subway system
- Acidic sulphate-containing ground water, known to be harsh to concrete
  - Due to alum shale – a sulphur-bearing rock common in the area
- A number of prisms 10*10*40 cm with different compositions, a.o.
  - OPC
  - OPC with 15% slag replacement
  - OPC with 15% silica fume replacement
  - SR cement
- Test went on for 30 years with inspection at regular intervals
- First thorough examination was after 12 years of exposure
  - Concrete specimens examined with standard methods vs sulphate attack
  - The report concluded: “Replacement of some of the Standard Portland Cement with the very fine grained silica dust showed to be very favourable, possibly due to its pozzolanic action”
- Results after 30 years exposure have not changed this conclusion
  - However, the reference-concrete specimens are gone long time ago
The late 1960s: Renewed interest in silica fume-concrete

• Although Prof. Bernhardts result were well known amongst concrete academics in Scandinavia, silica fume was not available in significant quantities, and little follow-up work was done in the next decade.
• However, in the mid 1960-ies the first industrial filters were installed in Scandinavia and North America.
• The increased availability of industrial quantities of silica fume initiated a new wave of research of silica fume in concrete.
• Prof. A. Markestad at the Norwegian Institute of Technology started a research programme, which included a number of student projects:
  • Compared silica fume-concrete with OPC reference, several properties.
• The results were published in a Nordic seminar in 1968, where he concluded:
  • Well cured samples (14-28 days at 20 °C) exhibit partly remarkable improvements. This applies largely to all properties investigated such as bending – and compressive strength, permeability, water absorption, sulphate resistance and frost resistance.”
The 1970-ies: early commercial sales and increasing R&D

• The encouraging test results with silica fume in concrete resulted in Norway in a joint project between a cement producer and the ferroalloys industry
  • Purpose was to study if there was a basis for joint commercialization
  • However, a possible conflict area also became apparent
    • silica fume could be used for cement replacement in RMC
• Sales to the concrete industry started in Norway in the early 1970s
  • Main use was as cement replacement in concrete products (k = 3)
  • Existing standards limited use in structural concrete
• First known documented use in structural concrete was a silo roof slab cast in 1971 at the Fiskaa plant in an environment with corrosive gases
  • Half with OPC (320 kg/m³), half with silica fume, 10% cement replacement
  • Evaluation after 7 years by the Norwegian Building Research Institute comparing the OPC-concrete with the silica fume-reference showed
    • 10-15 mm reduction in cover thickness
    • Double carbonation depth
International work 1970 - 1980

Although much of the early (documented) development work was done in Norway, from the mid 1970-ies projects and R&D started in many countries

- **Sweden:**
  - Lars Johansson documented basic properties of silica fume-concrete (1975)
  - Gothenburg (1976 – 1978): two wharves were constructed with different concrete compositions, with and without silica fume
    - Exposed to chlorides
    - Follow-up report after 23 years exposure concludes with a ”dramatic effect of silica fume on the resistance to chloride penetration” (Fidjestøl and Justnes, 2004)

- **Denmark:**
  - Hans Henrik Bache (Aalborg Portland) invented his DSP material
    - “Densified Systems containing homogeneously arrange, ultrafine Particles”
    - Utilizing particle packing concept with silica fume as the finestparticles
    - Demonstrated mortar strength in the order of 250-300 MPa
  - Sellevold et al: comprehensive documentation of microstructure of silica fume-cement pastes
International work 1970 – 1980 (continued)

- **Iceland:**
  - Severe ASR problems due to high-alkali cement combined with reactive aggregates
  - Comprehensive studies with different pozzolanas in the 1970-ies
  - Started using 5% silica fume in all cement from 1979
    - A follow-up study by Gudmundsson and Olafsson in 1999 concluded that "After 20 years of service there are no signs of ASR in this concrete in Iceland"

- **USA and Canada:**
  - Both countries have significant ferroalloys industry, and developed filtration technology and installed filters in parallel with Scandinavia
  - Several universities and institutes studied silica fume in concrete in the late 1970-ies (e.g. Sherbrooke, Purdue, Berkeley)
  - Little published before 1980
How the industry has developed
Metal production

- Silica fume results from the production of ferro-silicon and silicon
- >99% of the silica fume volume will not be produced unless the metal market is viable
- 70’s and early 80’s, metal production mainly in Norway, France, USA and Canada – with furnaces also in many other countries, South Africa, Russia etc.
International work 1970 – 1980 (continued)

• **China**
  - A quite large ferroalloys industry had been established
    - Most furnaces were small and not equipped with filters
  - In 1976, a semi-closed furnace with baghouse filter was installed in the Hunan province
    - It is not known if the silica fume from this furnace was used for concrete
  - Generally, it is first in the last decade that significant silica fume has been used in concrete in China

• **Japan:**
  - Japan had a noticeable production of ferrosilicon and silicon in the period
  - Silica fume was filtered and offered commercially by companies such as JMC, Osaka Special Alloy, Toyo Denka and Yakushima Denko
    - The quantity was limited, probably < 20,000 tpy
  - Research on silica fume was going on
  - Shimazaki filed in 1971 a patent for a waterproofing of concrete based on fume from ferrosilicon production
    - An application still used in Japan today
History -

- Commercial use facilitated after the development of bag filter systems that allowed industrial scale collection and near 100% removal of particles from the furnace exhaust gases. This happened in the 70’s and already around 1980 the Norwegian silica fume consumption was 50-60 000 tons.

- Global use increased, with focus on (Scandinavia), USA, Canada and France. Intense and widespread research was performed, by today there are several thousand of literature references.
From 1980 and beyond

• From 1980 and onwards there was virtually an exponential growth of research, published reports and papers related to silica fume in concrete
  • By 1985 we had registered about 500 reports and papers in our files
  • The number of publications is today probably approaching 10,000 Our library >5000, 3000 waiting
• Silica fume has come in common use in a majority of industrial countries and many developing countries
  • Silica fume has since long been an international tradeable product
  • It is estimated that over 10 million m³ silica fume-containing concrete is cast every year
• Whereas the early years had a focus on silica fume as cement replacement, the overwhelming use today is to improve durability and strength
• Heavy investment in new ferroalloys capacity in China should warrant for a good supply situation in the coming years
• Solar quality silicon production can also have effect on supply
History further

• Much of the technical knowledge of silica fume in concrete has been summarized in reports,
  • ACI and fib are key documents.
• The ACI report is fairly well updated and a new version was released in the summer of 2006.
• The fib-report is 20 years of age, and an update is in the works.
• Today, an estimate gives more than 15 million m³/year silica fume concrete produced globally, and the accumulated volume must by now have exceeded 200 million or more m³.
Metal production

- Currently metal production moving to China and other localities of inexpensive energy (and labor)
- Status metal industry:
  - Alloy consumption increasing
  - Shift in production locale
    - In particular for bulk ferro-silicon
  - Silicon alloys
    - Increasing amounts for specialist applications, Electronics, Chemistry and solar power
Important properties of silica fume

- Particle size
  - 25% of the particle volume less than 100 nm
  - 50% of particle volume less than 150 nm
  - Specific surface (BET) typically 20 m²/g (range 15-30)

- Spherical, solid particles

- Chemistry
  - > 85% SiO₂ – amorphous
  - Some aluminium oxide, iron oxide and alkalis
Microsilica particles, TEM

Very light and difficult to handle
PSD
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Percent passing vs. Size, microns
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Densified
Particle Size Distributions
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Microsilica particles, SEM
Actual size comparisons

Cement particle

Silica fume, single particle
Challenges of using silica fume

• Dispersion
  • Slurry an advantage
  • Densified needs mixing energy

• Lack of bleedwater requires care with curing
  • Make curing part of bid

• The execution of the structure must be as good as the material
  • Quality systems and control
What has been learnt
(short version)

• A competent labor crew can place concrete with silica fume without real problems
• For high performance concrete, silica fume is a tool that can be applied for:
  • Shotcrete
  • Chloride resistance
  • Sulfate resistance
  • High strength
  • Abrasion resistance
  • ASR
  • Heat of hydration
  • Underwater concrete
  • SCC
  • Pumping aid
  • Corrosion resistance
  • .........................
However:

- Silica fume required formal basis for the use.
- How has it been solved historically:
  - Project specifications
  - National standards
  - National guidelines
  - Guidelines from associations
  - Authority or regional specifications
Early years

- Norway allowed up to 8% (later 10) by weight of cement in structural concrete already from late 70’s.
- In several countries the “typical” chemical composition of a “well-known” silica fume was used as material specification.
- Turnaround came with the introduction of the Canadian Standard in 1987.
  - This year also ACI published its first attempt at a report on silica fume,
- A number of countries including Japan, Australia, France, Brazil etc. have developed standards that are very important for the local use of silica fume.
Canada deserves a special mention

- CANMET interest in supplementary cementing materials meant Canada pioneered the development of standards.
  - CAN/CSA A23.5-M86 Supplementary Cementitious Materials, was the first comprehensive national standard that also covered silica fume.
- The standard gives clear evidence of its parentage – the fly ash standard was inspiration to the structure and content of the silica fume standard.
  - This made the standard a mixed blessing, particularly to suppliers: On the one hand the standard opened for a broader use of silica fume – on the other hand a number of requirements present then, some of them still, are more suited to fly ash material than to silica fume.
- Being first, the Canadian standard gained popularity on a global scale, and several major projects in Asia used this standard, at least until ASTM or national standards were available.
  - The current revision of the requirements to silica fume is found in A3001-03 Cementitious Materials for Use in Concrete.
- Today, outside Canada, only Hong Kong is a regular user of CSA when specifying silica fume. There they will probably move to EN shortly.
• ASTM – gives standards for materials in USA.
  • (Actually the official name is now ASTM International.)
• ASTM C1240 was published first in 1993.
• The ASTM standard has been revised almost annually, moving it more away from its fly ash heritage.
• An important change is the use of constant water/cementitious ratio for determination of pozzolanic activity index.
  • Several other national standards that have been modeled on ASTM still use a procedure where flow is adjusted by addition of water in stead of using a high range water reducer.

• Activity is ongoing to improve the reference method for SiO₂ content
• Standard Norge – the Norwegian body for standards -- called a committee to commence work a Norwegian Standard in 1989.
• The purpose was to have a National document to propose as the draft of a future European (CEN) standard.
• NS 3045 was published in 1992, and submitted to CEN (Comité Européen de Normalisation/European Committee for Standardization) for development into a European Standard.
Europe (CEN)

- Standards development for the European construction industry is governed by the Construction Products Directive (89/106/EEC) from the Commission.
  - In short, products used in structures shall be covered by a harmonized standard or by a European Technical approval.
- For silica fume, a Working Group (WG9) was established under the committee for Concrete and Related Products (TC 104), the group that is responsible for EN 206, “Concrete - Part 1: Specification performance, production and conformity”.
- For various reasons, not of technical nature, the development of the standard took a very long time, and EN 13263 “Silica Fume in Concrete” was only published in 2005.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ (%)</td>
<td>&gt; 85,0</td>
<td>&gt; 85</td>
<td>&gt; 85</td>
<td>&gt; 85</td>
<td>&gt; 85</td>
<td>&gt; 85</td>
<td>&gt; 85</td>
<td>&gt; 85,0</td>
<td>&gt; 85,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃ (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 2,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
<td>&lt; 0,1</td>
<td>&lt; 0,2</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
<td>&lt; 0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free CaO (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
<td>&lt; 1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Si (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0,4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available alkalis (Na₂O equivalent, %)</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
<td>&lt; 3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on Ignition (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 4,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
<td>&lt; 6,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific surface (m²/gram)</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>15 - 35</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density, undensified</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pozzolanic Activity Index (%)</td>
<td>&gt; 105 @ 7d, accel, curing</td>
<td>&gt; 100 @ 28d, std curing</td>
<td>&gt; 95 @ 7d @ 28d, std curing</td>
<td>&gt; 85 @ 7d, 28d, std curing</td>
<td>&gt; 95 @ 7d, accel, curing</td>
<td>&gt; 85 @ 7d</td>
<td>&gt; 85,0 @ 7d, 27 °C curing</td>
<td>&gt; 85,0 @ 7d</td>
<td>&gt; 85,0 @ 7d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained on 45 micron sieve (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 5,0</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation from average on 45 micron sieve (%-points)</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoclave expansion (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foaming</td>
<td>No foam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mass (%-points deviation from declared in slurry)</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water requirement ratio (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application standards

- Example EN206
  - Allows the use of silica fume according to EN13263
  - Max amount of silica fume that can be included in calculation of \( w/cm \) and cement content is 11% of total cementitious.
  - Mostly an efficiency factor of 2 can be applied to silica fume (fly ash typically 0.4)
    - 1 kg of silica fume can be set equal to 2 kg of cement
    - \( \frac{w}{cm} = \frac{c}{w+k*sf} \)
    - \( K=2 \) for silica fume
Other important reference documents

- **ACI Committee 234**, provides guidance on the use of silica fume in concrete.
  - The committee report has recently been revised and is published as ACI 234R-06, Guide for the Use of Silica Fume in Concrete.
- **fib, federation internationale du beton,**
  - This document is currently (2008) under revision in order to accommodate the huge volume of information generated since the original publication.
- **SFA -- Silica Fume Association --**
  - operates a web site ([www.silicafume.org](http://www.silicafume.org)).
How will the standards develop?

- CEN
- ASTM
- ISO?
- Others
  - Canada
  - Japan
  - China
  - Australia
  - Still others

- There is movement many places ~hopefully harmonization will result
Where do we, the producer, want to go

- As simple a standard as possible
- No requirement tied to **concrete** performance
  - Sulfate, ASR, etc........
- Remove metallic silicon requirement
  - This is not necessary. The one incident reported was in an extremely special application – and the silica fume was heavily contaminated
- Chlorides: There should not be a requirement beyond reporting – it is the content of the concrete that is important
- Pozzolanic activity index: Unfortunately needed by engineers
- Oversize: remove (procedure is a travesty)
- Better procedure for SiO\textsubscript{2}-determination (XRF?)
Some highlights of silica fume use

- **Prices:**
  - Initial price meant cement replacement very cost effective
  - Today, mainly pay for performance

- **Availability**
  - Increasing total volume available
  - Demand increasing
  - Geographical shift in production
  - Logistics bottlenecks can give local scarcity
  - Quality issues on the increase

- Metal production fickle – downturn in world economy can reduce production
Example – changing world

- Norway 1980
  - Cement replacement
  - Low cost
  - 60 000 tons or more consumption
- Norway 2006
  - High performance concrete and mortar
  - High cost
  - Consumption 17 000 tons per annum
- From cement replacement to high grade addition
Volumes versus other SCM’s

- Silica Fume theoretical 1.5 million tons, less than 1 million tons used, limited growth, maybe 2 Mtons theoretical in future
- Fly Ash availability > 700 million tons, increasing rapidly to?? (2000 million tons in 2020?)
- Other pozzolans:
  - Mainly manufactured materials – limited by capacity
- GGBS 200 million tons?
  - Limited increase – tied to steel production
- Cement >2200 million tons, growing at 7% pa
Key factors

- Sustainability
- CO$_2$
- National and international regulations
- Economic growth in China, India + SEA

- Concrete is an essential part of industrial societies – the question is how?
Some examples

As time permits, some examples of where we are
Highrise

- 3 grades of strength
- Same formwork and layout throughout the structure
- Fasttrack construction
- Reduced column size

311 South Wacker Drive, Chicago
Petronas Towers, Kuala Lumpur

- Columns: Grade 80
- Beams: Grade 60
- Pumped 88 storeys high
- Triple blend: OPC/pfa/microsilica
Burj Dubai / Dubai Tower

• 350 000 m³ high strength concrete (80 and 60 MPa spec)
• Pumping all the way – single stage
• Ternary blends – PC/FA/SF
Emirates Towers, Dubai

Hotel (309 metre) and office (355 metre) skyscrapers

• >80 MPa microsilica concrete
Large Infrastructure

Great Belt, Denmark
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The Øresund Bridge - a high bridge and two approach bridges - total length 7845 m.

The high bridge has the longest cable-stayed main span in the world for both road and rail traffic.

Length of high bridge: 1092 m
Main span: 490 m
Passage height: 55 m
Height of pylons: 204 m

East approach bridge: 3739 m
West approach bridge: 3014 m
Tsing Ma bridge, Hong Kong

- Ternary blends
- 120 years
- >80 MPa
Tsing Ma bridge - built to last!
East Sea Bridge, Shanghai

- Total length 32.5 km,
- including over-sea part, harbor & bridge connection part and on-land part
East-Sea Bridge

Box girder precast yard

Cantilever bridge
East Sea bridge
Nordhordland bridge, Norway

- LWC
- Floating pontoons
- LWC in bridge deck
- 1900 kg/m³
- 60-70 MPa
Offshore – Troll platform
Troll A is 472 meter high and is the largest moveable structure ever built.

At tow-out it weighed 1,2 million MT. 245 000 m³ concrete and 100 000 MT reinforcement steel (about 15 Eiffel-towers).

The structure operates in 303 meter water depth.
Silica fume used for pumpability and stability!!
Up to 14% reinforcement
Dams, Hydropower

Kinzua dam Stilling Basin, NY
Stilling basin repair
Still in good shape after 24 years

Alta Dam, North Norway
Reduced heat of hydration
Storage silos, fertilizer
Hydro, Porsgrunn, Norway

• Early 1970’s application
• Calcium Nitrate
• Silica fume used in proprietary product
Fiskebæk Bridge, Copenhagen

- Eksperiment with dividers
- Up to 50% silica fume bwo cement
- Excellent performance
- Condition reported
Bandra Worli, India

The Bandra Worli Sealink Project, currently under construction (to be finished in 2005), is a major precast, segmental concrete bridge traversing the Mahim Bay and Arabian Sea to connect the city and the western suburbs of Mumbai, India.

The bridge features a two-span 500 metre long cable-stayed bridge with approximately 3000 metres of approaches. The superstructure for the cable stayed span and approaches consists of twin precast segmental box sections supporting 4 lanes of traffic in each direction.

HPC volume: approx. 200 000 m³
Stolma Bridge, Norway

World record span for free cantilever bridge, 301 m, when built 70 MPa LWAC (1940 kg/m³) in main span
Scrapyard near London

• Problem Conventional concrete
  • 350 mm deck wore away after few months (300 mm/year)
  • then 1 month close-down for repairs
• Solution
  • High strength microsilica concrete
  • 5-10-20 (?) times life time
Scrap handling facilities

- By experience, a huge increase in lifetime of floors and decks exposed to abrasion:
  - Coal and other bulk-handling facilities
  - Scrap yards
  - Marshalling yards for heavy civilian and military equipment
  - Storage facilities
  - Warehouses

Now, microsilica concrete shows wear abt. 20 mm/yr, i.e. lifetime of at least 10 years.
Nuclear plant Dome, Kaiga

www.elkem.materials.no
Microsilica concrete extensively used for durability reasons in sub-terrain concrete and in high-strength structural concrete elements in the extensions of the Dubai airport (2 million m³)
Burj Al Arab Hotel, Dubai

World’s tallest (321 metre) and most luxurious hotel (7 stars)

- >80 MPa microsilica concrete (400 kg cement + 10% microsilica)
- Chloride permeability < 500 coloums
Use of silica fume, general

- Four main applications
  - Concrete
  - Refractory materials
  - Fiber cement (non-asbestos)
  - Oil well cementing

- Global volumes (guesstimate)
  - Concrete 500 000 tons
  - Refractory 100 000 tons
  - Fiber cement 100 000 tons
  - Oilwell <50 000 tons
About standards

- Many of the structures shown were built before standards were available or without those currently in place
- All adhered to high (85%) SiO₂ and high specific surface (>15 m²/g)
- Future challenges
  - Resist pressure to accept substandard material as silica fume
  - Develop guide on off-spec material and other pozzolans
Closing words

- The use of SCM’s are increasingly relevant
  - High performance
  - High strength
  - Sustainability

- Standards should be developed with an eye to promoting their use where appropriate

- Application standards included in this concern

- Future includes
  - Fine natural pozzolans
  - Various clay minerals
  - Ash from biofuel processes
    - RRHA
    - Bagasse?
    - Other
  - “Waste” from other industrial processes
    - Ground glass
    - Mineral processing
    - Other ground slags
On CO2

- Processing CO2 must be accounted for
  - Calcination
  - Milling
  - Shipping/handling

- Total CO2 of structure used must be focus
  - Construction often 10% of total
  - Balance against urgency of rapid reduction
  - Do not lose long term performance in search for early performance
It will be interesting

Thank you for your attention